

Corres. and Mail  
**BOX AF**

**PATENT**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: Gerardo H. Llinas  
et al.

RE: **SUBMISSION AFTER FINAL  
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. & 1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE**

Serial No.: 09/300,300

Date: July 11, 2002

Filed: April 27, 1999

Examiner: J. Pasterczyk

For: "PREPARATION AND USE OF HETEROGENEOUS . . ."  
Group Art Unit: 1755

Our Ref: 617074-8/JP

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
**Box AF**  
Washington, D.C., 20231

Sir:

On July 11, 2002, we filed a response for the above-identified U.S. application via Express Mail with a certificate of mailing dated July 11, 2002. Unfortunately, a formula was omitted at the top of page 4 in the July 11, 2002 response. Therefore, we are enclosing herewith a revised page 4 for the July 11, 2002 response. The enclosed revised page 4 includes the previously omitted formula. Please consider the revised page 4 on the merits before issuing next Office Action on the merits.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 12-0415. In particular, if this submission is not timely filed, then the Commissioner is authorized to treat this submission as

RECEIVED  
JUL 26 2002  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

#23  
7/13/02  
P2



including a petition to extend the time period pursuant to 37 C.F.R § 1.136(a) requesting an extension of time of the number of months necessary to make this submission timely filed; and the petition fee due in connection therewith may be charged to Deposit Account No. 12-0415.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C., 20231 on


July 11, 2002  
(Date of Deposit)


JOHN PALMER  
(Name of Applicant, Assignee  
or Registered Representative)

(Signature)

(Date)

Respectfully submitted,

  
John Palmer  
Reg. No. 36,885  
LADAS & PARRY  
5670 Wilshire Boulevard  
Suite 2100  
Los Angeles, California 90036  
(323) 934-2300



can be found in, inter alia, formula II in originally filed Claim 1 and currently pending Claim 1 when L is a cyclopentadienyl ring, R is a  $\text{C}_1$  alkyl group, a is 0, and k is 5.

Amended Claims 1-8, 10, 11, and 13-20 are readable upon the elected invention and should be considered on the merits. Amended Claim 9 and currently pending Claim 12 are not readable upon the elected invention, but should be considered on the merits because they are each dependent on an allowable elected claim (amended Claim 8 or amended Claim 11).

In item 2 on pages 2-3 of the outstanding August 10, 2001 Office Action, the Examiner rejects currently pending Claims 1-8, 10, 11, and 13-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for allegedly being indefinite. The Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection in item 2 because the currently pending claims are not indefinite. Furthermore, this rejection is now moot and should be withdrawn because amended Claims 1-8, 10, 11, and 13-20 are not indefinite.

In item 4 on page 3 of the outstanding August 10, 2001 Office Action, the Examiner rejects currently pending Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13-16, 18, 19 for allegedly being obvious over Vega et al.'s European Patent Document No. EP-0757992 A1 (hereinafter referred to as the "Vega document") for the reasons that are set forth in the second paragraph of item 9 on pages 4-5 of the November 17, 2000 Office Action. In item 5 on page 3 of the